I am going to place it on the table of this House so that Hon'ble Members may go through it.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

† Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, according to the programme already issued we understood that the House is to go on till the 28th April. You have allotted 4 days for non-official business, but now we here some changes being made. The matter has not come before the Business Advisory Committee. What I want to say is that 4 days were allotted for non-official business. If for any reason you are going to alter this decision, we on this side feel very reluctant to support that move because we find that every time non-official business comes up there is this interference. This business has not been given the proper treatment that it deserves. So we want that this session should go on till the 28th according to the programme that we have already chalked out. I want to know whether the Chief Minister and the Government have come to any decision to change the programme, if so I have something to say on it. I presume the House will go on till the 28th and that non-official business will not be interfered with and that there will be no reduction in the number of days allotted for non-official resolutions.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palyam).—For every 15 days of the session, we are entitled to have two days allotted for non-official business. So for we have had no non-official business taken up. Now we are told that 4 days have been allotted for this business. I do not know what the Speaker is going to do.

†Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—For every fortnight, we must get two days for non-official business. Just to carry on the business, we did not assert our right. Yesterday we were told that a report is in the hands of the Speaker stating that the House would sit till the 28th. But to-day we just hear that tomorrow the House would adjourn and that we are meeting tomorrow in the morning. I do not know why the rights of the members should be curtailed, particularly in regard to non-official business. When we co-operated heartily with the business of the Government, they should also give an opportunity for the members to express their views and carry on the business of the non-official members. So I request through you to reconsider the question and see that at least 4 days are allotted, whatever the difficulties and whatever the inconveniences the Government might be having. I am making the request through you, because you are the custodian of the rights and privileges of the members. Let not the rights of the Hon'ble members of the House be curtailed. So I request you to kindly see that till the 28th, the number of days allotted should not be curtailed. I am sure that you are going to uphold the just cause and see that the rights and privileges of the members are guarded without any infringement either from the Government or from anybody outside. The Speaker must give a very reasonable consideration to the request of the members and

(Sri C. J. MUCHANNAPPA)

see that they are given four days' time for the discussion of the non official resolutions.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—For every two weeks of the sittings, it is the duty cast on the Speaker himself to allot two days for non-official business.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Of course in consultation with the Leader of the House and the Leader of the Opposition.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—You are always saying that the speaker is the supreme authority.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Order. He must talk with some dignity and decorum.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM (K.G.F).—If whatever I said is inconvenient, I withdraw it. It is the Speaker who has to allot two days' time for every fortnight of the sittings. Now what has happened. The Speaker waited all these days and he went away. The responsibility has come to your shoulders. Since he has not adhered to the procedure that for two weeks of Government business there must be two days allotted for non-official business, Now I request the Speaker to stick to this procedure by allotting four days at least.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is not the intention of the Government to reduce the number of days to two days or one day. If two days are utilised this time, the remaining two days can be reserved for the adjourned session, these two days will be added; so we are not coming in the way. Whatever you are entitled to, these two days will be added to those days which are reserved for non-official business. So to-day and to-morrow we can sit and discuss non-official business and the remaining two days can be taken up in the adjourned session.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Yesterday it is disclosed that we have got to sit for 4 days; why this change now?

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY (Chicknaikanahalli).—For the continuous session of two months, eight days should have been set apart for non-official business. Where is the question of having two days now and two days afterwards? At least in place of eight days, we have now 4 days.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is for the adjourned meetings.

Sri B. SHAMSUNDER (Bhalki).—Committee on Private Members business has decided that four days be allotted for non-official business and yesterday we got the calendar giving all the dates till the 28th; that has been issued.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I am not opposed to four days. 2 days can be utilised now, and in the adjourned meeting the remaining two days can be taken. Whatever you are entitled to, will be utilised at the next meeting.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (K.G.F.).—You have allotted under rule 28 four days. The question is whether he is entitled to change it in the manner now suggested. He cannot, he shall not say that it shall be transacted on some other day convenient to the Government. You please go through Rule 28. We cannot violate rule 28. I will read the second and third proviso:

> "Provided further that on a motion made by a Minister for the suspension of this rule the Assembly may resolve to transact Government business on a day allotted for non-official business, in which case the time so used shall be made available for the transaction of private members' business on such other day allotted for Government business as the Speaker in consultation with the Leader of the House may determine.
>
> Provided further that the Speaker may in his discretion permit transaction of Government business on a day allotted for non-official business."

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Rule 28 relates to the daily business of the House and for provisional programme of the House.....

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Yesterday you came forward and said that you have allotted four days. Having adhered to that thing, you now want to change it.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—We will be allotting four days. We have not curtailed the time.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Can you say on what dates we are taking up non-official business at the next meeting; can you even say that we are meeting again. You have already allotted four days up to the 28th. You do not know when we are meeting again; you do not know what business we are transacting in the next session. The whole thing is in a balance and suspense. The position is, they don't want to transact any business. You are requested by the House.....

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I will consider the matter and let him know to-morrow.

2—00 P.M.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA (Chamarajanager).—Sir, it is the privilege of the House. If you do not consider it now, you will be curtailing the non-official business. You have to safeguard the dignity, the rights and privileges of the Members.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Sri, Narasimhan has raised a point and I will consider it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, under the rules you have power to exercise against a member. But, you cannot take away the privileges and rights of the Members which are prescribed under the Constitution. It has got some sanctity. It is not as a matter of concession that we get days allotted for non-official business. You must see that four days

(Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA)

are given. You are the custodian of the rights and privileges of the Members of this House. Are you going to curtail the rights of the Members ? If you want time to consider this matter and take a decison, you may allow time to Government till 3 P.M. and then tell us what is going to be done.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, there is no dispute regarding allotting four days. 2 days may be this time and 2 days in the next when we next meet.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Why ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Why means, because it is convenient.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Why did you not think of it yesterday ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is only mutual understanding.

Sri N. G. NARASIMHE GOWDA.—According to Business Advisory Committee ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ಆಗಲೇ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈಗ ಏನು ಕೊಂದರೆ ಇದೆ ?

†Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY.—Sir. on a point of order. In the first place, I do not know how the Government has fixed 4 days for non-officiae business. According to rule 28, there shall not be less than 2 days for every 14 days, for non official business. We are groping in the dark. I do not know who has amended this proviso. This matter has not come before this House with such an amendment. How anybody can fix 4 days--whether it is the Speaker or the Government I cannot understand. It should have been 8 days and this House is entitled to have 8 days for non-official business. Who is responsible for this change, I want to know. After having curtailed 50 per cent of the privilege of this House, now the Chief Minister comes forward and says : we shall meet in June, July, August or September and we can have these two remaining days at that meeting. Are we following the existing procedure or are we following some other procedure. I do not know how the Speaker can say, 'I will consider the matter tomorrow'. There is nothing to consider. We are breaking the rules. I do not think it is possible to consider the question tomorrow. We are entitled for not 4 days but for 8 days. As a matter of convenience, we can agree for 4 days.

The other point is, this resolution for naming the State as Karnataka, has been pursuing us all these three or four years. Now again this is before the House and the Government do not want to take a decision on it. It is quite clear that the Government wants to simply drag on the matter so that some other House after election, may take the decision. Heavens would not fall if a decision is taken now. We are not worried whether it is good or bad. So, we refuse to accede the request of the Government.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—On the motion moved by the Hon'ble Speaker yesterday in regard to the report of the Committee in regard to the private Member's Bill and Resolution, we have agreed and mentioned dates. The House agreed for the allotment of the four days for the non-official business and it is also agreed that this specific resolution is to be discussed on the floor of this House. In view of this, I do not know whether it is correct in restricting the duration of the business. We have agreed for four days and the House has given its consent. The Government may find it inconvenient. Now, that we have agreed to this, it would not be possible to change it. There is lot of substance in what my friend Sri Srinivasa Shetty has said.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I will examine all the point and I want some time.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.--We do not want any ruling from the Chair. What is there to consider? I want to know whether there is any motion from the Government ; or changing the Time Table? If that is so, we want to speak on that point.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, when a point of order is raised and when the Hon'ble Speaker says that he wants some time to consider, what is the meaning in not giving time to the Speaker ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I will take all this into consideration.

NON-OFFICIAL BUSINESS.

(*a*) Bills.

Mysore Police (Amendment) Bill 1961. Leave to Introduce.

Sri MAHMOOD SHARIFF (Broadway).—Sir, I beg leave to introduce the Mysore Police (Amendment) Bill, 1961.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Has the Member leave of the House to introduce the Bill ?

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—Yes.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

NON-OFFICIAL RESOLUTION

Re.—Prohibiting Ministers to carry out any propagandist activity two months prior to General Elections—Debate (*contd*).

†ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ದೇವಣ್ಣಗೌಡ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ರತ್ನ ಸಿಂಹಾಸನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷ ಪೀಠದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೆ, ನಮ್ಮ ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವ ಯಾವ ಮಾದರಿಯಾದುದು, 15 ವರ್ಷವಾದರೂ ನಮಗೆ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮದು ಇಂಗ್ಲೆಂಡ್, ಅಮೇರಿಕ ಮಾದರಿಯದೇ ಅಥವಾ ರಷ್ಯಾ ಮಾದರಿಯದೋ ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ನೋಡಿದರೆ, ನಮ್ಮದು ರಷ್ಯ ತರಹಾನೋ ಎಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ. 150 ಜನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಬಲ ಇದ್ದಾಕ್ಷಣಕ್ಕೇ, ನೈತಿಕವಾಗಿ ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ವರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಅನೇಕ ಸಲಹೆಗಳಿಗೆ, ರಚನಾತ್ಮಕವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲವು ಟೀಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ, ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮನೋಭಾವ ನೋಡಿದರೆ, ಇದು ರಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಹೋಲಿಸಬಹುದಾಗಿದೆ. ಈಗ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಜರ್ಮನಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ತಾವೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಪಾಶ್ಚಿಮಾತ್ಯ ದೇಶಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ನೋಡಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಬನ್ನಿ.